



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/826,336	09/826,336 04/03/2001		John Steinberg	EFIM0261	EFIM0261 3928	
31408	7590	03/11/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ROSINO Street #343		BAYA	BAYAT, ALI		
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2625		
				DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/826,336	STEINBERG, JOHN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ali Bayat	2625		

Advisory Action	09/820,330	STEINBERG, JOHN					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ali Bayat	2625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress -				
THE REPLY FILED 03 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The 	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, wal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR or ereply must be filed within one of t	which places the appli 41.31; or (3) a Reque	cation in street				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, whi	ichever is later. In				
	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a				
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appwas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing t	he Notice of				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	• •						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co 	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		i E Delow),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will will	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,9-11, 15, 17-18, 25-27 and 31							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nt before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ice because:				
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
	BHAY CUIDERMEN	esh to Lazata By patent examina	.				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2300

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art of Gilman (US 6,628,826) teaches the limitations in independent claims 1 and 27, see Final office action, further Examiner emphasises that alignment of the regions of the target test sheet (Fig.3B element 60) with the regions of the digital image (Fig.3b element 54) is performed by image software Fig.3B element 56, also see col.6 lines 24-46).

SUPERVISORY PATERY FOR